

TEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STA

Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

DAVID E. BROOK HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH AND REYNOLDS Z MILITIA DRIVE LEXINGTON, MA 02173 DATE MALES: EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD O9/18/96 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative), PTO personnel): (1)	SERIAL NUMBE	R FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
DAVID E. BROOK HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH AND REYNOLDS 2 MILITIA DRIVE LEXINGTON, MA 02173 EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD DATE MAILED: DATE MAILED: 09/18/96 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) JOHN LUCAS (PTO) (3) Steve Davi'S (2) Carolyn Elmore (4) Date of interview 9/25/94 Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to paplicant paplicant's representative). Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes two. If yes, brief description: Agreement was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. Was not reached. Claims discussed: 9/-97 Identification of prior and discussed:	(08/192,102 02	/04/94 LE		J	NYU93-01M
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH AND REYNOLDS 2 MILITIA DRIVE LEXINGTON, MA 02173 1806 DATE MAILED: EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD 09/18/96 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) JOHA, Lucas (PTO) (3) Steve Davis (2) Carolyn Elmore (4) Date of interview 8/25/94 Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant templicant's representative). Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes to No. It yes, brief description: Agreement was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. It was not reached. Claims discussed: 91-9-7 Identification of prior art discussed:				18M1/0918	LUCA	; EXAMINER
EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD 09/18/96 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1)	H S	HAMILTON, BROOK. ≥ MILITIA DRIVE		REYNOLDS		29.21
(1)		*	EXAMINER INTE	RVIEW SUMMARY RE		09/18/96
	(1)	Algorithms Elmorithms	en to □ applicant of the state	(4)).	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., Items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filled, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.	(A fuller description attached. Also, which is not not up to the paragr. WAIVED AND MU	n, if necessary, and a copy of there no copy of the amendment occasions for applicant to provide the copy of the amendment occasions for applicant to provide the copy of the amendment occasions for applicant to provide the copy of the amendment occasions for applicant to provide the copy of the amendment occasions for applicant to provide the copy of the copy of the amendment occasions for applicant to provide the copy of the amendment occasions for applicant to provide the copy of	the amendments, if avents which would render to a separate record of the contract of the contr	allable, which the examiner at the claims allowable is available the substance of the interviewary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REW (e.g., Items 1-7 on the re	agreed would render the lable, a summary there ew.	e claims allowable must be of must be attached.) AST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT If a response to the last Office